

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/751,369	01/05/2004	Luis Marti Sanchez	60469-134; OT-4803	2210
26096	7590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			TRAN, THUY VAN	
SUITE 350	IAI LE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3652	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/751,369	SANCHEZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thuy v. Tran	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n					
3)	, 						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 21-23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 21-23 is/are allowed Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	vithdrawn from consideration.					
	•	vominor /					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	! is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment	r(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	s Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <i>Jan 5, 2004</i> .		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed January 5, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. There is no copy of the GB 1,042,553 reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the lever" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Further, it is unclear whether the wedge portion is part of the "latch member" of claim 1 since both "the wedge portion" and "the latch member" engage the "stop surface".

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 21-24 are allowed.

Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Timari 5,904,229 discloses a centrifugally activated device comprising a sheave 26, a stop surface 25 support near the sheave, a latch member 51 supported on the sheave for selectively engaging

Application/Control Number: 10/751,369 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

with the stop surface for stopping movement of the sheave, a biasing member that biases the latch member out of engaging with the stop surface.

The prior art of record fail to disclose or suggest to combine a centrifugally activated device for controlling movement of an elevator cab comprising a sheave that rotates as the cab moves, a stationary support member fixed on the sheave that supports the latch member in the second stopping position, in combination with other limitations as recited in independent claim 1 and further in view of the specification.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Each of the cited references separately discloses a centrifugally activated device for controlling of an elevator cab.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-2558. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(TUT)

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600